



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|--|-----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/772;231 | 01/29/2001 | Narayanan Ganapathy | MS155741.1 | 9670 | |
| 27195 | 7590 05/02/2005 | | EXAMINER | | |
| AMIN & TUROCY, LLP | | | HOFFMAN, BRANDON S | | |
| 24TH FLOOR, NATIONAL CITY CENTER 1900 EAST NINTH STREET | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| CLEVELAND, OH 44114 | | | 2136 | | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 05/02/2009 | 5 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| X/ | 1 |
|-----|---|
| iX. | - |
| | |

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|--------------------|----------------------|--|--|
| 09/772,231 | GANAPATHY, NARAYANAN | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| Brandon S. Hoffman | 2136 | | |

| Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief | Examiner | Art Unit | |
|--|--|---|---|
| | Brandon S. Hoffman | 2136 | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ears on the cover sheet with the c | correspondence add | ress |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>18 April 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP | PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A | ALLOWANCE. | |
| The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the follo places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods: | owing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in liance with 37 CFR 1.114. The replacement | ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (| ence, which CFR 41.31; or |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f | risory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o . ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FI). | f the final rejection. IRST REPLY WAS FILE | D WITHIN TWO |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened sta above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month bearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the is after the mailing date of the final rejection | The appropriate extension in all Office action; or (2) on, even if timely filed, ma | on fee under 37 as set forth in (b) ay reduce any |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be a Notice of Appeal has been filed. | extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e) |), to avoid dismissal o | of the appeal. |
| AMENDMENTS | hut miss to the data of filing a bria | f will not be entered | haaayaa |
| The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co | • | | because |
| (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in beappeal; and/or | ow); | · | the issues for |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)) | | ejected claims. | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s | | ompliant Amendment | (PTOL-324). |
| Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s). Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). | - | , timely filed amendn | nent canceling |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | vill be entered and an | explanation of |
| Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessa | overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appery and was not earlier presented. | eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d) | ails to provide a (1). |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the control of the contr | on of the status of the claims after | entry is below or attac | ched. |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered be in order for the two user level operations to communication processing, and therefore, for the entire communication process. | ate effectively, a switch to privileged | d mode is required for | r lookup of an |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s) | | | |
| 13. | , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , | AVAZ | SHEIKH |
| | | SUPERMISORY P | ATENT EXAMINE |
| • | | | CENTER 2100 |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 4-05)